

Center City Planning Community: CURRENT DATA

**Background Summary**

Grade Level	2015/16 Official Enrollment	Overall Capacity OSFC	Overall % Utilization by Enrollment
PK-5	1,027	1,636	63%
6-8	408	500	82%
9-12	485	1,032	47%

Note: OSFC- Ohio School Facilities Commission, Facility Assessment Capacity

CENTER CITY PLANNING AREA			
Grade Level	# of Students Live-In	# of Students Transfer out	# of Students Transfer into
PK-5	1,918	1,178	531
6-8	531	303	127
9-12	654	416	231


Note: DOES NOT INCLUDE STUDENTS THAT LIVE OUTSIDE CCS BOUNDARIES AND ATTEND CCS SCHOOLS

School Facilities Renovation Costs Exceeds 2/3rd Cost of Replacement (FCI)	


Note: Higher % = Poorer Condition

Completed Facilities	
East Columbus ES	Champion MS
Eastgate ES	East HS
Ohio Avenue ES	
Trevitt ES	

**Center City Planning Community Map**



**Current Pathways**



Center City Planning Community: CURRENT DATA

Facility Information

Facility Name	Grade Configuration (CCS)	FMP Status	Program	Attendance Boundary	Transfer Out %	Transfer In %	OSFC Capacity (2002)	CCS Program Capacity	Adjusted Enrollment	Utilization (OSFC)	Utilization (CCS)	Modular Classrooms (CCS)
East Columbus ES	PK-5	Completed	conventional	Yes	46%	40%	368	368	391	106%	106%	
Eastgate ES	K-5	Completed	conventional	Yes	48%	43%	368	368	293	80%	80%	
Ohio Avenue ES	PK-5	Completed	conventional	Yes	56%	40%	400	400	339	85%	85%	
Trevitt ES (Includes Beatty Park)	PK-5	Completed	conventional	Yes	52%	30%	500	500	395	79%	79%	

<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>1,636</b>	<b>1,636</b>	<b>1,418</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>0</b>
------------------------	------------	------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------	------------	----------

Champion MS	6-8	Completed	conventional	Yes	57%	36%	500	500	408	82%	82%	
-------------	-----	-----------	--------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>0</b>
------------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	----------

East HS	9-12	Completed	conventional	Yes	64%	49%	1,032	1,032	485	47%	47%	
---------	------	-----------	--------------	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------	-----	-----	-----	--

<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>1,032</b>	<b>1,032</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>0</b>
------------------------	------------	------------	--------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	----------

Elementary Schools

Facility Name	FCI 2016	Permanent Square Footage (OSFC)	Year Built (OSFC)	Site Acreage
East Columbus ES	23%	46,000	2007	7.25
Eastgate ES	20%	46,000	2008	17.29
Ohio Avenue ES	23%	51,238	2007	3.83
Trevitt ES (Includes Beatty Park)	20%	75,862	2008	5.03

<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>219,100</b>
------------------------	------------	----------------

Middle School

Facility Name	FCI 2016	Permanent Square Footage (OSFC)	Year Built (OSFC)	Site Acreage
Champion MS	20%	73,875	2008	3.58

<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>73,875</b>
------------------------	------------	---------------

High School

East HS	18%	172,791	2009	6.39
---------	-----	---------	------	------

<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>172,791</b>
------------------------	------------	----------------

FCI: Facility Condition Index, this number is found by dividing the renovation cost by the replacement cost. It is used to determine whether a school is eligible for replacement. The higher the percentage, the higher the need for replacement.

OSFC Square Footage: As measured by the Ohio Schools Facilities Commission, 2002



Center City Planning Community: CURRENT DATA

Planning Community Level Live-Attend Analysis

This table shows where students **residing** within the Center City planning community attend school. For example, 52% of elementary students residing within the Center City planning community attend a school in the Center City planning community; 5% of high school students residing in the Center City planning community attend a school in the Northwest planning community.

Students Residing in Center City Planning Community		Where they are going							
		Center City	Regional Alternative within Center City	Other Regional or All-City Program	Northeast	West	South	Northwest	East
Grade Level	Elementary	52%	16%	11%	5%	2%	6%	3%	6%
	Middle School	33%	0%	21%	4%	3%	22%	9%	4%
	High School	27%	0%	27%	7%	1%	27%	5%	6%
	Total Live In	42%	9%	17%	5%	2%	15%	5%	6%

This table shows where students **attending** Center City planning community schools reside. For example, 49% of the students attending Center City planning community High Schools reside within the planning community, 21% comes from Northeast, 4% from the West, 6% from the South, and 19% from the East.

Students Attending Center City Planning Community Schools		Where they are coming from					
		Center City	Northeast	West	South	Northwest	East
Grade Level	Elementary	61%	8%	4%	14%	1%	11%
	Middle School	54%	23%	3%	12%	2%	6%
	High School	49%	21%	4%	6%	0%	19%
	Total Live In	58%	13%	3%	13%	1%	12%

Center City Planning Community: CURRENT DATA

Selected Community Feedback Results | Zip Codes: 43203, 43205, 43206, 43215, 43219

**Which of the following grade arrangements/configurations would you support?**

	PK-5, 6-8, 9-12	PK-6, 7-12	PK-8, 9-12	PK-12 Campus
Strongly Support	121	30	31	49
Support	68	48	47	32
No Opinion	13	32	24	20
Do Not Support	8	43	45	48
Strongly Do Not Support	9	26	28	46

**Which of the following do you recommend for schools that are underutilized? Please check all that apply.**

Combine small schools to create efficiency (put two schools together in the larger building to form one school)	100
Co-locate schools (have two schools share one building)	47
Allow schools to remain small despite having an underutilized facility	49
Bus students to increase enrollment	47
Change attendance boundaries of nearby schools	74
Change the grade arrangement (add a grade in to a school - example, change from a K-5 to a K-6 building)	81
None of the above/No opinion	22

**Please rate the importance of the following factors:**

	Age difference between students	Program focus (e.g., STEM, fine arts, language immersion, etc.)	Limiting the number of times a student changes schools	Travel time to and from school
Important	169	142	102	121
No Opinion	22	49	56	55
Not Important	32	20	46	32

There are spaces within a school that the State of Ohio does not provide funding for. These projects are typically funded by local taxes. As a local tax payer, how important do you believe the following spaces are in schools?

	Auditoriums (Middle School)	Auditoriums (High School)	Outdoor athletic facilities (stadiums, tracks, football fields, soccer fields, etc.)	Tennis courts	Larger gymnasiums (High School)	Adult Education	Outdoor Education Center/Camp	Indoor Swimming Pool	Non-school facilities (bus garage, food service production center, etc.)	Land for schools to be built on	Preserve historic buildings	Centralized student health clinic
Important	146	174	158	68	130	90	80	43	129	101	120	120
No Opinion	26	6	14	58	37	60	66	54	38	53	38	40
Not Important	12	2	10	52	15	32	37	84	14	27	23	20